arXiv:1103.4779v1 [math.AP] 24 Mar 2011

Poincaré Sobolev equations in the Hyperbolic space

Mousomi Bhakta and K. Sandeep*

Abstract

We study the a priori estimates,existence/nonexistence of radial sign changing solution, and the Palais-Smale characterisation of the problem $-\Delta_{\mathbb{B}^N} u - \lambda u = |u|^{p-1}u, u \in H^1(\mathbb{B}^N)$ in the hyperbolic space $\mathbb{B}^N$ where $1 < p \leq \frac{N+2}{N-2}$. We will also prove the existence of sign changing solution to the Hardy-Sobolev-Mazya equation and the critical Grushin problem.

1 Introduction

In this article we will study compactness properties and the existence/non-existence of sign changing solutions of the problem

$$- \Delta_{\mathbb{B}^N} u - \lambda u = |u|^{p-1}u, u \in H^1(\mathbb{B}^N) \tag{1.1}$$

where $1 < p \leq \frac{N+2}{N-2}$, $\lambda < (\frac{N-1}{2})^2$ and $H^1(\mathbb{B}^N)$ denotes the Sobolev space on the disc model of the Hyperbolic space $\mathbb{B}^N$ and $\Delta_{\mathbb{B}^N}$ denotes the Laplace Beltrami operator on $\mathbb{B}^N$.

Apart from its own mathematical interest, the equation (1.1) is closely related to the study of Hardy-Sobolev-Mazya type equations and Grushin operators

---

[*] TIFR Centre for Applicable Mathematics, Post Bag No. 6503 Sharadanagar,Chikkabommasandra, Bangalore 560065. Email: mousomi@math.tifrbng.res.in; sandeep@math.tifrbng.res.in

under partial symmetry of their solutions(See [7],[8],[9]).
First consider the Hardy Sobolev Mazya type equations

$$-\Delta u \;-\; \eta\frac{u}{|y|^2} = \frac{|u|^{p_t-1}u}{|y|^t} \;\text{ in }\; \mathbb{R}^n,\; u \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \tag{1.2}$$

where $\mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}, 2 \leq k < n, 0 \leq \eta < \frac{(k-2)^2}{4}$ when $k > 2, \eta = 0$ when $k = 2, 0 \leq t < 2$ and $p_t = \frac{n+2-2t}{n-2}$. A point $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is denoted as $x = (y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$.
One can see that $u \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a cylindrically symmetric solutions of (1.2) (i.e., $u(x) = \tilde{u}(|y|, z)$) iff $v = w \circ M$ solves (1.1) with dimension $N = n-k+1, p = p_t$ and $\lambda = \eta + \frac{(n-k)^2-(k-2)^2}{4}$ where $w(r, z) = r^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\tilde{u}(r, z)$ for $(r, z) \in (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$ and $M : B^{n-k+1} \to (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$ is the standard isometry (see (6.30)) between the $\mathbb{B}^N$ and the upper half space model of the hyperbolic space (see [7], [9] for details.) Note that when $k = 2$ and $\eta = 0$, then we have $\lambda = (\frac{N-1}{2})^2$ in (1.1). But in this case the assumption $u \in H^1_0(\mathbb{B}^N)$ has to be replaced by $u \in \mathcal{H}$ wich is the completion of $C^\infty_c(\mathbb{B}^N)$ with the norm $\left(\int\limits_{\mathbb{B}^N} \left[|\nabla_{\mathbb{B}^N} u|^2 - \frac{(n-1)^2}{4}u^2\right] dV_{\mathbb{B}^N}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$,see [9] for details.

The critical Grushin-type equations are given by

$$\Delta_y\varphi + (1+\alpha)^2|y|^{2\alpha}\Delta_z\varphi + \varphi^{\frac{Q+2}{Q-2}} = 0 \qquad (y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^h \tag{1.3}$$

where $\alpha > 0,\ Q = k + h(1+\alpha)\ , k, h \geq 1$. The equation (1.3) can be considered as the Euler-Lagrange equation satisfied by the extremals of the weighted Sobolev inequality

$$\tilde{S}\left(\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{\frac{2Q}{Q-2}} dydz\right)^{\frac{Q-2}{Q}} \leq \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla_y u|^2 + (\alpha+1)^2|y|^{2\alpha}|\nabla_z u|^2\right) dydz \tag{1.4}$$

Connections between Grushin operators and hyperbolic geometry were observed by Beckner [3]. As before φ is a cylindrically symmetric solution of (1.3) with $|||u|||^2 := \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla_y u|^2 + (\alpha+1)^2|y|^{2\alpha}|\nabla_z u|^2)\, dydz < \infty$, iff $u = \Phi \circ M$ solves (1.1) with

$$N = h+1, \qquad \lambda = \frac{1}{4}\left[h^2 - (\frac{k-2}{\alpha+1})^2\right], \qquad p = \frac{Q+2}{Q-2} < 2^* - 1$$

where $\Phi(r,z) = r^{\frac{Q-2}{2(1+\alpha)}}\varphi(r^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}, z)$, $r = |y|$, Here again when $\lambda = (\frac{N-1}{2})^2$ in (1.1) the assumption $u \in H_0^1(\mathbb{B}^N)$ has to be replaced by $u \in \mathcal{H}$.

Positive solutions of (1.1) has been extensively studied in [9]. In fact it was shown in [9] that (1.1) has a positive solution iff either $1 < p < \frac{N+2}{N-2}$ and $\lambda < \frac{(N-1)^2}{4}$ or $p = \frac{N+2}{N-2}, \frac{N(N-2)}{4} < \lambda < \frac{(N-1)^2}{4}$ and $N \geq 4$. The solutions are also shown to be unique up to isometries (except in $N = 2$ where there is a restriction on p).
In this article we focus on sign changing solutions of (1.1). The subcritical case is quite different from the critical case where the lack of compactness of the problem comes in to picture. We will present this compactness analysis in Section 3, Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.3. In section 4, we will some appriori estimates on the solution. In the fifth section we will prove our main existence results Theorem 5.1, Theorem 5.2, Theorem 5.3 and Theorem 5.5. Some preliminaries about Hyperbolic space are discussed in the appendix.

2 Priliminaries

Let $\mathbb{B}^N := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x| < 1\}$ denotes the unit disc in $\mathbb{R}^N$. The space $\mathbb{B}^N$ endowed with the Riemannian metric g given by $g_{ij} = (\frac{2}{1-|x|^2})^2\delta_{ij}$ is called the ball model of the Hyperbolic space.

We will denote the associated hyperbolic volume by $dV_{\mathbb{B}^N}$ and is given by $dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} = (\frac{2}{1-|x|^2})^N dx$. The hyperbolic gradient $\nabla_{\mathbb{B}^N}$ and the hyperbolic Laplacian $\Delta_{\mathbb{B}^N}$ are given by

$$\nabla_{\mathbb{B}^N} = (\frac{1-|x|^2}{2})^2\nabla, \quad \Delta_{\mathbb{B}^N} = (\frac{1-|x|^2}{2})^2\Delta + (N-2)\frac{1-|x|^2}{2} < x, \nabla >$$

Let $H^1(\mathbb{B}^N)$ denotes the Sobolev space on $\mathbb{B}^N$ with the above metric g, then we have $H^1(\mathbb{B}^N) \hookrightarrow L^p(\mathbb{B}^N)$ for $2 \leq p \leq \frac{2N}{N-2}$ when $N \geq 3$ and $p \geq 2$ when $N = 2$. In fact we have the following Poincaré-Sobolev inequality (See [9]) : For every $N \geq 3$ and every $p \in (2, \frac{2N}{N-2}]$ there is an optimal constant

$S_{N,p,\lambda} > 0$ such that

$$S_{N,p,\lambda}\left(\int\limits_{\mathbb{B}^N} |u|^p dV_{\mathbb{B}^N}\right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \leq \int\limits_{\mathbb{B}^N} \left[|\nabla_{\mathbb{B}^N} u|^2 - \frac{(n-1)^2}{4}u^2\right] dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} \tag{2.5}$$

for every $u \in H^1(\mathbb{B}^N)$. Existence of exremals for (2.5) and their uniqueness has been studied in [9]. If $N = 2$ any $p > 2$ is allowed (See [2], [10] for a more precise embedding in this case).

Thanks to (2.5) solutions of (1.1) can be characterised as the critical points of the energy functional I_λ given by

$$I_\lambda(u) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{B}^N} \left[|\nabla_{\mathbb{B}^N} u|^2_{\mathbb{B}^N} - \lambda u^2\right] dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} - \frac{1}{p+1}\int_{\mathbb{B}^N} |u|^{p+1} dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} \tag{2.6}$$

Conformal change of metric. Let $f : M \to N$ be a conformal diffeomorphism between two Riemannian manifolds (M, g) and (N, h) of dimension $N \geq 3$, i.e., $f^*h = \phi^{\frac{4}{N-2}} g$ for some positive function ϕ. Consider the equations

$$-\Delta_g u + \frac{N-2}{4(N-1)} S_g u = |u|^{\frac{4}{N-2}} u \quad on \quad M \tag{2.7}$$

$$-\Delta_h v + \frac{N-2}{4(N-1)} S_h v = |v|^{\frac{4}{N-2}} v \quad on \quad N \tag{2.8}$$

where Δ_g, S_g and Δ_h, S_h are the Laplace Beltrami operators and scalar curvatures on M and N respectively. Then if v is a solution of (2.8), then $u = \phi(v \circ f)$ is a solution of (2.7). Moreover $\int\limits_M |u|^{\frac{2N}{N-2}} dV_M = \int\limits_N |v|^{\frac{2N}{N-2}} dV_N$ if one of the integral is finite.

As an easy consequence, if $\tau \in I(\mathbb{B}^N)$ the isometry group of $\mathbb{B}^N$ and u any solution of (1.1) then $v = u \circ \tau$ is again a solution of (1.1) and $I_\lambda(u) = I_\lambda(v)$. See the appendix for details about the isometry group $I(\mathbb{B}^N)$.

As another consequence, noting that the hyperbolic metric $g = \phi^{\frac{4}{N-2}} g_e$ where g_e is the Euclidean metric on $\mathbb{B}^N$, $\phi = \left(\frac{2}{1-|x|^2}\right)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}$ and the scalar curvature of g is $-N(N-1)$ we see that u is a solution of (1.1) with $p = \frac{N+2}{N-2}$ iff $v = \left(\frac{2}{1-|x|^2}\right)^{\frac{N-2}{2}} u$ solves the Euclidean equation

$$-\Delta v - \tilde{\lambda}\left(\frac{2}{1-|x|^2}\right)^2 v = |v|^{\frac{4}{N-2}} v, v \in H_0^1(\mathbb{B}^N) \tag{2.9}$$

where $\tilde{\lambda} = (\lambda - \frac{N(N-2)}{4})$. Let us denote the energy functional corresponding to (2.9) by

$$J_\lambda(v) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{B}^N} \left[|\nabla v|^2 - \tilde{\lambda}\left(\frac{2}{1-|x|^2}\right)^2 v^2\right] dx - \frac{1}{p+1}\int_{\mathbb{B}^N} |v|^{p+1} dx \quad (2.10)$$

Then for any $u \in H^1(\mathbb{B}^N)$ if $\tilde{u}$ is defined as $\tilde{u} = \left(\frac{2}{1-|x|^2}\right)^{\frac{N-2}{2}} u$ then $I_\lambda(u) = J_\lambda(v)$.Moreover $\langle I'_\lambda(u), v\rangle = \langle J'_\lambda(\tilde{u}), \tilde{v}\rangle$ where $\tilde{v}$ is defined in the same way.

3 Compactness and non-compactness

In this section we will study the compactness properties of (1.1). Let $u \in H^1(\mathbb{B}^N)$ and $b_n \in \mathbb{B}^N$ such that $b_n \to \infty$ and τ_n be the Hyperbolic translations(see Appendix) such that $\tau_n(0) = b_n$. Define $u_n = u \circ \tau_n$, then $||u_n|| = ||u||$ but $u_n \rightharpoonup 0$ in $H^1(\mathbb{B}^N)$. This shows that the embedding $H^1(\mathbb{B}^N) \hookrightarrow L^p(\mathbb{B}^N)$ is not compact for any $2 \leq p \leq \frac{2N}{N-2}$. Hence the problem (1.1) is non compact even in the subcritical case. Below we will show that we can overcome this problem in the subcritical case by restricting to the radial situation. The critical case is more involved, we will show that the noncompactness can occur through two profiles.

3.1 The radial case.

Let $H^1_r(\mathbb{B}^N)$ denotes the subspace

$$H^1_r(\mathbb{B}^N) = \{u \in H^1(\mathbb{B}^N) : u \textit{ is radial}\}$$

Since the hyperbolic sphere with centre $0 \in \mathbb{B}^N$ is also a Euclidean sphere with centre $0 \in \mathbb{B}^N$ (See the appendix),$H^1_r(\mathbb{B}^N)$ can also be seen as the subspace consisting of Hyperbolic radial functions.

Theorem 3.1. *The embedding $H^1_r(\mathbb{B}^N) \hookrightarrow L^p(\mathbb{B}^N)$ for $2 < p < 2^*$ is compact.*

Proof. Let $u \in H^1_r(\mathbb{B}^N)$ then $u(x) = u(|x|)$, by denoting the radial function by u itself. Then

$$\omega_{N-1}\int_0^1 u'(s)^2(\frac{2}{1-s^2})^{N-2}s^{N-1}ds = \int_{\mathbb{B}^N}|\nabla u|^2(\frac{2}{1-|x|^2})^{N-2}dx < \infty.$$

where ω_{N-1} is the surface area of S^{N-1}. Thus for $u \in H^1_r(\mathbb{B}^N)$

$$\begin{aligned} u(|x|) &= -\int_{|x|}^1 u'(s)ds \\ &\leq \Big(\int_0^1 u'(s)^2(\frac{2}{1-s^2})^{N-2}s^{N-1}ds\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big(\int_{|x|}^1(\frac{1-s^2}{2})^{N-2}s^{-(N-1)}ds\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \omega_{N-1}^{-\frac{1}{2}}||u||_{H^1(\mathbb{B}^N)}(\frac{1-|x|^2}{2})^{\frac{N-2}{2}}\frac{1}{|x|^{\frac{N}{2}}}\Big(\int_{|x|}^1 sds\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \omega_{N-1}^{-\frac{1}{2}}||u||_{H^1(\mathbb{B}^N)}(\frac{1-|x|^2}{2})^{\frac{N-1}{2}}\frac{1}{|x|^{\frac{N}{2}}} \end{aligned}$$

Let $\{u_m\}$ be a bounded sequence in $H^1_r(\mathbb{B}^N)$. Then upto a subsequence we may assume $u_m \rightharpoonup u$ in $H^1_r(\mathbb{B}^N)$ and pointwise . To complete the proof we need to show now $u_m \to u$ in $L^p(\mathbb{B}^N)$.

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}^N}|u_m|^p dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} = \int_{|x|\leq\frac{1}{2}}|u_m|^p dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} + \int_{|x|>\frac{1}{2}}|u_m|^p dV_{\mathbb{B}^N}.$$

The convergence of 1st integral follows from Relich's compactness theorem. The convergence of 2nd integral follows from the dominated convergence theorem as in $\{|x| > \frac{1}{2}\}$ we have the estimate $|u_m(x)|^p \leq C\big(\frac{1-|x|^2}{2}\big)^{\frac{N-1}{2}p}$ and

$$\int_{|x|>\frac{1}{2}}(\frac{1-|x|^2}{2})^{\frac{N-1}{2}p}dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} \leq \int_{|x|>\frac{1}{2}}(\frac{1-|x|^2}{2})^{\frac{N-1}{2}p-N}dx < \infty$$

provided $p > 2$. This completes the proof. ☐

But the above theorem fails for $p = 2$ and 2^*.

3.2 Palais Smale Characterisation

In this section we study the Palais Smale sequences of the problem

$$\left.\begin{aligned} -\Delta_{\mathbb{B}^N}u - \lambda u &= |u|^{p-1}u \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{B}^N \\ u &\in H^1(\mathbb{B}^N) \end{aligned}\right\} \qquad (3.11)$$

where $0 \leq \lambda < (\frac{N-1}{2})^2$ and $1 < p \leq 2^* - 1 = \frac{N+2}{N-2}$. To be precise define the associated energy functional I_λ as

$$I_\lambda(u) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{B}^N} \left[|\nabla_{\mathbb{B}^N} u|^2_{\mathbb{B}^N} - \lambda u^2\right] dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} - \frac{1}{p+1}\int_{\mathbb{B}^N} |u|^{p+1} dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} \tag{3.12}$$

We say a sequence $u_n \in H^1(\mathbb{B}^N)$ is a Palais Smale sequence (PS sequence) for I_λ at a level d if $I_\lambda(u_n) \to d$ and $I_\lambda^{'}(u_n) \to 0$ in $H^{-1}(\mathbb{B}^N)$. One can easily see that PS sequences are bounded. Therefore if we restrict I_λ to $H^1_r(\mathbb{B}^N)$ and $p < \frac{N+2}{N-2}$ then it follows from Theorem 3.1 that every PS sequence has a convergent subsequence. This is not the case if we relax either one of the above conditions as we will see below. In this section we will analyse this lack of compactness of PS sequences.

First observe that the equation (3.11) is invariant under isometries. i.e., if u is a solution of (3.11) and $\tau \in I(\mathbb{B}^N)$, then $v = u \circ \tau$ is also a solution of (3.11). Thus for a solution U of (3.11), if we define

$$u_n = U \circ \tau_n \tag{3.13}$$

where $\tau_n \in I(\mathbb{B}^N)$ with $\tau_n(0) \to \infty$, then u_n is a PS sequence converging weakly to zero. We will see that in the subcritical case noncompact PS sequences are made of finitely many sequnces of type (3.13).

However in the critical case $p = 2^* - 1$ we can exhibit another PS sequence coming from the concentration phenomenon.

Let V be a solution of the equation

$$-\Delta V \ = \ |V|^{2^*-2} V \ , \ \ V \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \tag{3.14}$$

The associated energy $J(V)$ is given by

$$J(V) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla V|^2 dx - \frac{1}{2^*}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |V|^{2^*} dx \tag{3.15}$$

Fix $x_0 \in \mathbb{B}^N$ and $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{B}^N)$ such that $0 \leq \phi \leq 1$ and $\phi = 1$ in a neighborhood of x_0. Define

$$v_n = \left(\frac{1-|x|^2}{2}\right)^{\frac{N-2}{2}} \phi(x) \epsilon_n^{\frac{2-N}{2}} V((x - x_0)/\epsilon_n) \tag{3.16}$$

where $\epsilon_n > 0$ and $\epsilon_n \to 0$, then direct calculation shows that v_n is also a PS sequence. Moreover we have

Lemma 3.2. *Let* u_n *be a PS sequence of* (3.11)*, and* $\tau_n \in I(\mathbb{B}^N)$ *then* $v_n := u_n \circ \tau_n$ *is also a PS sequence of* (3.11)*.*

Thus if $\tau_n \in I(\mathbb{B}^N)$ and v_n as in (3.16) then $u_n = v_n \circ \tau_n$ is also a PS sequence. We show that any PS sequence is essentially a superposition of the above type of PS sequences.

Theorem 3.3. *Let* $u_n \in H^1(\mathbb{B}^N)$ *be a PS sequence of* I_λ *at a level* $d \geq 0$*. Then* $\exists n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ *and functions* $u_n^j \in H^1(\mathbb{B}^N)$*,* $0 \leq j \leq n_1$*,* $v_n^k \in H^1(\mathbb{B}^N)$*,* $0 \leq k \leq n_2$ *and* $u \in H^1(\mathbb{B}^N)$ *s.t upto a subsequence*

$$u_n = u + \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} u_n^j + \sum_{k=1}^{n_2} v_n^k + o(1)$$

where $I_\lambda^{'}(u) = 0$*,* u_n^j*,* v_n^k *are PS sequences of the form (3.13) and (3.16) respectively and* $o(1) \to 0$ *in* $H^1(\mathbb{B}^N)$*. Moreover*

$$d = I_\lambda(u) + \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} I_\lambda(U_j) + \sum_{k=1}^{n_2} J(V_k) + o(1)$$

where U_j, V_k *are the solutions of* (3.11) *and* (3.14) *corresponding to* u_n^j*,and* v_n^k*.*

Classifiacation of PS sequences has been done for various problems in bounded domains in $\mathbb{R}^N$ and on compact Riemannian manifolds, where the lack of compactness is due to the concentration phenomenon (See [15],[13],[5],... and the references therein). However the present case should be compared with the case of infinite volume case, say the critical equations in $\mathbb{R}^N$. In this case lack of compactness can occur through vanishing of the mass (in the sense of the concentration compactness of Lions). However in the Euclidean case by dialating a given sequence we can assume that all the functions involved has a fixed positive mass in a given ball and hence we can overcome the vanishing of the mass. However in the case of $\mathbb{B}^N$ this is not possible as the conformal group of $\mathbb{B}^N$ is the same as the isometry group. We will overcome this difficulty by doing a concentration function type argyment near infinity. For this purpose let us define

Definition 3.4. *For* $r > 0$, *define* $S_r := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \ : \ |x|^2 = 1 + r^2\}$ *and for* $a \in S_r$ *define*

$$A(a, r) = B(a, r) \cap \mathbb{B}^N$$

where $B(a, r)$ *is the open ball in the Euclidean space with center* a *and radius* $r > 0$.

Note that for the above choice of a and r, $\partial B(a, r)$ is orthogonal to S^{N-1}. We also have,

Lemma 3.5. *Let* $r_1 > 0, r_2 > 0$ *and* $A(a_i, r_i), i = 1, 2$ *be as in the above definition, then there exists* $\tau \in I(\mathbb{B}^N)$ *such that* $\tau(A(a_1, r_1)) = A(a_2, r_2)$.

Proof. Let $M : \mathbb{B}^N \to \mathbb{H}^N$ be the standard isometry between the ball model $\mathbb{B}^N$ and the upper half space model $\mathbb{H}^N$. Since M is the restriction to $\mathbb{B}^N$ of a conformal map of the extended Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^N \cup \{\infty\}$, we see that the sphere $S(a, r)$ is orthogonal to S^{N-1} iff $M(S(a, r))$ is a sphere in $\mathbb{R}^N$ orthogonal to $\mathbb{R}^{N-1} \times \{0\}$. Thus $M(A(a_i, r_i))$ is of the form $\mathbb{R}^N_+ \cap B(\tilde{a}_i, \tilde{r}_i)$. Now the map $\tilde{T}(x) = \tilde{a}_2 + \frac{\tilde{r}_2}{\tilde{r}_1}(x - \tilde{a}_1)$ is an isometry in $\mathbb{H}^N$ and maps $\mathbb{R}^N_+ \cap B(\tilde{a}_1, \tilde{r}_1)$ on to $\mathbb{R}^N_+ \cap B(\tilde{a}_2, \tilde{r}_2)$. Hence $T = M^{-1} \circ \tilde{T}(x) \circ M$ is in $I(\mathbb{B}^N)$ and maps $A(a_1, r_1)$ to $A(a_2, r_2)$.

□

Proof of Theorem 3.3. From standard arguments it follows that any PS sequence is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{B}^N)$. More precisely $I_\lambda(u_n) = d + o(1)$ and $\langle I'_\lambda(u_n), u_n \rangle = o(1)||u_n||$, computing $I_\lambda(u_n) - \frac{1}{p+1}\langle I'_\lambda(u_n), u_n \rangle$ we get

$$||u_n||^2_{H^1(\mathbb{B}^N)} \leq C + o(1)||u_n||_{H^1(\mathbb{B}^N)}$$

and hence boundedness follows. Thus up to a subsequence we may assume $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $H^1(\mathbb{B}^N)$.

Step 1. In this step we will prove the theorem when $u = 0$.
Proof. Since su_n is a PS sequence we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}^N} \left[|\nabla_{\mathbb{B}^N} u_n|^2_{\mathbb{B}^N} - \lambda u_n^2\right] dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} = \int_{\mathbb{B}^N} |u_n|^{p+1} dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} + o(1)$$

Since the square root of LHS is an equivalent norm in $H^1(\mathbb{B}^N)$ and u_n does not converge strongly to zero we get

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{B}^N} |u_n|^{p+1} dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} > \delta' > 0.$$

Let us fix $\delta > 0$ such that $0 < 2\delta < \delta' < S_\lambda^{\frac{p+1}{p-1}}$. Let us define the concentration function $Q_n : (0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ as follows.

$$Q_n(r) = \sup_{x\in S_r} \int_{A(x,r)} |u_n|^{p+1} dV_{\mathbb{B}^N}.$$

Now $\lim\limits_{r\to 0} Q_n(r) = 0$,and $\lim\limits_{r\to\infty} Q_n(r) > \delta$ as for large $r, A(x, r)$ approximates the intersection of $\mathbb{B}^N$ with a half space $\{y \in \mathbb{R}^N \ : \ y \cdot x > 0\}$. Therefore we can choose a sequence $R_n > 0$ and $x_n \in S_{R_n}$ s.t

$$\sup_{x\in S_{R_n}} \int_{A(x,R_n)} |u_n|^{p+1} dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} = \int_{A(x_n,R_n)} |u_n|^{p+1} dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} = \delta.$$

Fix $x_0 \in S_{\sqrt{3}}$ and using Lemma 3.5 choose $T_n \in I(\mathbb{B}^N)$ such that $A(x_n, R_n) = T_n(A(x_0, \sqrt{3}))$. Now define

$$v_n(x) = u_n \circ T_n(x)$$

Since T_n is an isometry one can easily see that $\{v_n\}$ is a PS sequence of I_λ at the same level as u_n and

$$\int_{A(x_0,\sqrt{3})} |v_n|^{p+1} dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} = \int_{A(x_n,R_n)} |u_n|^{p+1} dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} = \delta = \sup_{x\in S_{\sqrt{3}}} \int_{A(x,\sqrt{3})} |v_n|^{p+1} dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} \tag{3.17}$$

and $||v_n||_{H_\lambda} = ||u_n||_{H_\lambda}$. Therefore upto a subsequence we may assume $v_n \rightharpoonup v$ in $H^1(\mathbb{B}^N)$, $v_n \to v$ in $L^q_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{B}^N)$, $2 < q < 2^*$ and pointwise. Moreover v solves the equation (3.11). Let us consider the two cases:

Case 1: $v = 0$
First we will claim that
Claim: For any $1 > r > 2 - \sqrt{3}$

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}^N\cap\{|x|\geq r\}} |v_n|^{p+1} dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} = o(1)$$

To do this let us fix a point $a \in S_{\sqrt{3}}$. Let $\phi \in C_c^\infty(A(a,\sqrt{3}))$ such that $0 \le \phi \le 1$ where $A(a,\sqrt{3}) = B(a,\sqrt{3}) \cap \mathbb{B}^N$ $B(a,\sqrt{3})$ is the Euclidean ball with center a and radius $\sqrt{3}$. Now

$$< v_n, \psi >_{H_\lambda} = \int_{\mathbb{B}^N} |v_n|^{p-1} v_n \psi dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} + o(1)||\psi||$$

for every $\psi \in H^1(\mathbb{B}^N)$. Now putting $\psi = \phi^2 v_n$ in the above identity we get

$$< v_n, \phi^2 v_n >_{H_\lambda} = \int_{\mathbb{B}^N} |v_n|^{p-1} (\phi v_n)^2 dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} + o(1)$$

A simple computation gives

$$\begin{aligned} < v_n, \phi^2 v_n >_{H_\lambda} &= \int_{\mathbb{B}^N} \left[|\nabla_{\mathbb{B}^N}(\phi v_n)|^2_{\mathbb{B}^N} - (\frac{1-|x|^2}{2})^2 v_n^2 |\nabla \phi|^2 - \lambda (\phi v_n)^2 \right] dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} \\ &= ||\phi v_n||^2_{H_\lambda} - \int_{\text{supp } \phi} (\frac{1-|x|^2}{2})^2 v_n^2 |\nabla \phi|^2 dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} \\ &= ||\phi v_n||^2_{H_\lambda} + o(1) \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$||\phi v_n||^2_{H_\lambda} = \int_{\mathbb{B}^N} |v_n|^{p-1} (\phi v_n)^2 dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} + o(1) \tag{3.18}$$

Now using (3.18), Cauchy-Schwartz and the Poincaré-Sobolev inequality (2.5) we get

$$S_{\lambda,N,p} (\int_{\mathbb{B}^N} |\phi v_n|^{p+1} dV_{\mathbb{B}^N})^{\frac{2}{p+1}} \le (\int_{\mathbb{B}^N} |\phi v_n|^{p+1} dV_{\mathbb{B}^N})^{\frac{2}{p+1}} (\int_{A(a,\sqrt{3})} |v_n|^{p+1} dV_{\mathbb{B}^N})^{\frac{p-1}{p+1}}$$

Now if $\int_{\mathbb{B}^N} |\phi v_n|^{p+1} dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} \not\to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ we get

$$\delta^{\frac{p-1}{p+1}} < S_{\lambda,N,p} < (\int_{A(a,\sqrt{3})} |v_n|^{p+1} dV_{\mathbb{B}^N})^{\frac{p-1}{p+1}} < \delta^{\frac{p-1}{p+1}}$$

which is a contradiction. This implies

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}^N} |\phi v_n|^{p+1} dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} \to 0. \tag{3.19}$$

Since $a \in S_{\sqrt{3}}$ is arbitrary, the claim follws.

If $1 < p < 2^* - 1$ this together with the fact that $v_n \to 0$ in $L^{p+1}_{loc}(\mathbb{B}^N)$

immediately gives a contradiction to (3.17).Thus let us assume $p = 2^* - 1$. Fix $2-\sqrt{3} < r < R < 1$ and choose $\theta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{B}^N)$ such that $0 \le \theta \le 1, \theta(x) = 1$ for $|x| < r$ and $\theta(x) = 0$ for $|x| > R$.

Define $\overline{v}_n = \theta v_n$, then the above claim shows that $\overline{v}_n$ is again a PS sequence and $v_n = \overline{v}_n + o(1)$ where $o(1) \to 0$ in $H^1(\mathbb{B}^N)$. Let us consider a conformal change of the metric, from the hyperbolic to the Eucledean metric. Define $\tilde{v_n} = \left(\frac{2}{1-|x|^2}\right)^{\frac{N-2}{2}} \overline{v}_n$ then $\tilde{v_n} \in H_0^1(B(0,R))$ and is a PS sequence for the problem

$$-\Delta w = a(x)w + |w|^{2^*-2}w, \;\; w \in H_0^1(B(0,R)) \tag{3.20}$$

where a is a smooth bounded function in $B(0,R)$ given by $a(x) = \frac{4\lambda - N(N-2)}{(1-|x|^2)^2}$. Now it follows from the PS sequence characterization of (3.20) (see for example [15]) that

$$\tilde{v_n} = \sum_{k=1}^{n_2} w_n^k + o(1)$$

where w_n^k is of the form

$$w_n^k(x) = \phi(x)\epsilon_n^{\frac{2-N}{2}} V_k((x-x_n^k)/\epsilon_n)$$

where $\epsilon_n > 0, |x_n^k| \le 2-\sqrt{3}, \epsilon_n \to 0, x_n^k \to x^k$ as $n \to \infty$, V_k's are solutions of (3.14) and $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{B}^N)$ such that $0 \le \phi \le 1, \phi(x) = 1$ for $|x| < r$ and $\phi(x) = 0$ for $|x| > R$. Moreover the associated energy $J_\lambda(\tilde{v_n})$ is given by

$$J_\lambda(\tilde{v_n}) = \sum_{k=1}^{n_2} J(V_k) + o(1)$$

where J_λ and J are as in (2.10) and (3.15). Thus

$$v_n = \overline{v}_n + o(1) = \left(\frac{2}{1-|x|^2}\right)^{-\frac{N-2}{2}} \tilde{v_n} + o(1) = \sum_{k=1}^{n_2} v_n^k + o(1)$$

where $v_n^k = \left(\frac{2}{1-|x|^2}\right)^{-\frac{N-2}{2}} w_n^k$.

Hence the theorem follows in this case.

Case 2: $v \neq 0$

Define,$w_n(x) = v \circ T_n^{-1}(x)$ Since v solves (3.11), w_n is a PS sequence. Moreover since $u_n \rightharpoonup 0$ in $H^1(\mathbb{B}^N)$, we have $T_n^{-1}(0) \to \infty$ and hence w_n is a PS

sequence of the form (3.13). We claim that
Claim : $u_n - w_n$ is a PS sequence of I_λ at level $d - I_\lambda(v)$.
Since $v_n \rightharpoonup v$ we have $||\nabla_{\mathbb{B}^N} v_n||_2^2 = ||\nabla_{\mathbb{B}^N} v||_2^2 + ||\nabla_{\mathbb{B}^N}(v_n - v)||_2^2 + o(1)$ Also from the Brezis Lieb Lemma, we have $||v_n||_2^2 = ||v||_2^2 + ||(v_n - v)||_2^2 + o(1)$ and $||v_n||_{p+1}^{p+1} = ||v||_{p+1}^{p+1} + ||(v_n - v)||_{p+1}^{p+1} + o(1)$. Combining these facts with the invariance of I_λ under the action of $I(\mathbb{B}^N)$, we get

$$I_\lambda(u_n - w_n) = I_\lambda(v_n - v) = I_\lambda(v_n) - I_\lambda(v) + o(1) = I_\lambda(u_n) - I_\lambda(w_n) + o(1)$$

Next we show that $u_n - w_n$ is a PS sequence of I_λ.
First note that $I'_\lambda(u_n - w_n)(\phi) = I'_\lambda(v_n - v)(\phi_n)$ where $\phi_n = \phi \circ T_n$, $||\phi_n||_{H^1(\mathbb{B}^N)} = ||\phi||_{H^1(\mathbb{B}^N)}$.
Now to show $|I'_\lambda(v_n - v)(\phi_n)| = o(||\phi_n||)$ we need to basically prove

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}^N} \big(|v_n|^{p-1}v_n - |v|^{p-1}v - |v_n - v|^{p-1}(v_n - v)\big)\phi_n dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} = o(||\phi_n||) \quad (3.21)$$

because the linear part follows easily. Using the Hölder inequaliy the L.H.S of (3.21) can be estimated by

$$|\phi_n|_{L^{2^*}(\mathbb{B}^N)} \left[\int_{\mathbb{B}^N} \big|\big(|v_n|^{p-1}v_n - |v|^{p-1}v - |v_n - v|^{p-1}(v_n - v)\big)\big|^{\frac{2n}{n+2}} dV_{\mathbb{B}^N}\right]^{\frac{n+2}{2n}}$$

standard arguments using Vitali's convergence theorem shows that the term inside bracket is of $o(1)$. this proves the claim.

In view of the above claim if $u_n - w_n$ does not converge to zero in $H^1(\mathbb{B}^N)$ we can repeat the above procedure for the PS sequence $u_n - w_n$ to land in case 1 or case 2. In the first case we are through and in the second case either we will end up with a converging PS sequence or else we will repeat the process. But this process has to stop in finitely many stages as any PS sequence has nonnegative energy and each stage we are reducing the energy by a fixed positive constant. This proves Step 1.

Step 2: Let u_n be a PS sequence. Then we know that u_n is bounded and hence going to a subsequence if necessary we may assume that $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $H^1(\mathbb{B}^N)$, pointwise and in $L^{p+1}_{loc}(\mathbb{B}^N)$. Thus as before we can show that $u_n - u$ is a PS sequence converging weakly to zero, at level $d - I_\lambda(u)$. Now the theorem follows from Step 1.

4 A priori estimates

From the standard elliptic theory we know that the solutions of (1.1) are in $C^2(\mathbb{B}^N)$. But we do not have any information on the nature of solution as $x \to \infty$ (equivalently as $|x| \to 1$). If u is a positive solution of (1.1), then u is radial with respect to a point and the exact behaviour of $u(x)$ as $x \to \infty$ has been obtained in [9] by analysing the corresponding ode. In the general case we prove

Theorem 4.1. *Let u be a solution of (1.1) then $u(x) \to 0$ and $|\nabla_{\mathbb{B}^N} u(x)|^2_{\mathbb{B}^N} \to 0$ as $x \to \infty$ in $\mathbb{B}^N$. In particular $u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{B}^N)$.*

Proof. We will prove the theorem in a few steps. First we will show that u is bounded.
Step 1: Let $0 < R < 2R < \frac{3}{4} < 1$ then there exists $q > 2^*$ and a constant $C > 0$ such that $|u \circ \tau|_{L^q(B(0,R))} \leq C$ for all $\tau \in I(\mathbb{B}^N)$.
Proof of step 1: Since $u \circ \tau$ is also a solution of the same equation for any $\tau \in I(\mathbb{B}^N)$, we will prove this step by proving a bound on $|u|_{L^q(B(0,R))}$ and observing that the bound remains the same if u is replaced by $u \circ \tau$.
Define, $\bar{u} = u^+ + 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} u_m &= \bar{u} \text{ if } u < m \\ &= 1 + m \text{ if } u \geq m \end{aligned}$$

For $\beta > 0$ define the test function $v = v_\beta$ as $v_\beta = \varphi^2(u_m^{2\beta}\bar{u} - 1)$ where $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{B}^N), 0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$, $\varphi \equiv 1$ in $B(0, r_{i+1})$, $\text{supp}\varphi \subseteq B(0, r_i)$, $R < r_{i+1} < r_i < 2R$ and $|\nabla\varphi| \leq \frac{C}{r_i - r_{i+1}}$.
Then $0 \leq v \in H_0^1(B(0, r_i))$ and hence from (1.1) we can write

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}^N} \langle \nabla_{\mathbb{B}^N} u, \nabla_{\mathbb{B}^N} v \rangle dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} = \lambda \int_{\mathbb{B}^N} uv dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} + \int_{\mathbb{B}^N} |u|^{p-1} uv dV_{\mathbb{B}^N}$$

Now substituting v we get
L.H.S=

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}^N} \left[u_m^{2\beta}\varphi^2 \nabla u \nabla \bar{u} + 2\beta u_m^{2\beta-1} \bar{u} \varphi^2 \nabla u \nabla u_m + 2\varphi(u_m^{2\beta}\bar{u} - 1)\nabla u \nabla \varphi\right] \left(\frac{2}{1 - |x|^2}\right)^{N-2} dx$$

In the support of 1st integral $\nabla u = \nabla \bar{u}$, and in the support of 2nd integral $u_m = \bar{u}$, $\nabla u_m = \nabla u$. Therfore using Cauchy-Schwartz along with the above fact we get

$$\begin{aligned} L.H.S &\geq \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{B}^N} u_m^{2\beta}\varphi^2|\nabla_{\mathbb{B}^N}\bar{u}|^2_{\mathbb{B}^N}dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} + 2\beta\int_{\mathbb{B}^N} u_m^{2\beta}\varphi^2|\nabla_{\mathbb{B}^N}u_m|^2_{\mathbb{B}^N}dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} \\ &- 2\int_{\mathbb{B}^N} u_m^{2\beta}\bar{u}^2|\nabla_{\mathbb{B}^N}\varphi|^2_{\mathbb{B}^N}dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} \end{aligned} \tag{4.22}$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\tfrac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{B}^N} u_m^{2\beta}\varphi^2|\nabla_{\mathbb{B}^N}\bar{u}|^2_{\mathbb{B}^N}dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} + 2\beta\int_{\mathbb{B}^N} u_m^{2\beta}\varphi^2|\nabla_{\mathbb{B}^N}u_m|^2_{\mathbb{B}^N}dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} \\ \leq\ & \lambda\int_{\mathbb{B}^N}\varphi^2(u_m^{\beta}\bar{u})^2dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} + \int_{\mathbb{B}^N}|u|^{p-1}(u_m^{\beta}\bar{u})^2\varphi^2dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} \\ +\ & 2\int_{\mathbb{B}^N}(u_m^{\beta}\bar{u})^2|\nabla_{\mathbb{B}^N}\varphi|^2dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} \end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

Now set $u_m^{\beta}\bar{u} = w$ then we get

$$\varphi^2|\nabla w|^2 \leq (1+\frac{1}{\varepsilon})\beta^2u_m^{2\beta}|\nabla u_m|^2\varphi^2 + (1+\varepsilon)u_m^{2\beta}|\nabla\bar{u}|^2\varphi^2$$

Now choosing $\varepsilon = \frac{\beta}{4+7\beta}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4(1+2\beta)}|\nabla(\varphi w)|^2 &\leq 2\beta u_m^{2\beta}\varphi^2|\nabla u_m|^2 + u_m^{2\beta}|\nabla\bar{u}|^2\varphi^2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{2(1+2\beta)}|\nabla\varphi|^2w^2 \end{aligned}$$

Now using $\frac{2}{4+7\beta} < \frac{1}{2}$ and (4.23)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4(1+2\beta)}\int_{\mathbb{B}^N}|\nabla_{\mathbb{B}^N}(\varphi w)|^2dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} &\leq \int_{B(0,r_i)}[\lambda + |u|^{p-1}](\varphi w)^2dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} \\ &+ \frac{C}{(r_i - r_{i+1})^2}\int_{B(0,r_i)}w^2dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} \end{aligned} \tag{4.24}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4(1+2\beta)}\int_{\mathbb{B}^N}|\nabla_{\mathbb{B}^N}(\varphi w)|^2dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} &\leq M(R)\int_{B(0,2R)}|w|^2dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{B}^N}|u|^{p-1}(\varphi w)^2dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} \end{aligned} \tag{4.25}$$

Now for any $K > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{B}^N} |u|^{p-1}(\varphi w)^2 dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} &\leq K^{p-1}\int_{\mathbb{B}^N}(\varphi w)^2 dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} \\ &+ \left(\int_{|u|>K}|u|^{2^*}dV_{\mathbb{B}^N}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{2^*}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}^N}(\varphi w)^{\frac{2\cdot 2^*}{2^*-p+1}}dV_{\mathbb{B}^N}\right)^{\frac{2^*-p+1}{2^*}}\end{aligned}$$

Now if $p = 2^* - 1$ then choose $K > 0$ large enough so that

$$\left(\int_{|u|>K}|u|^{2^*}dV_{\mathbb{B}^N}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{2^*}} < \frac{1}{8(1+2\beta)}$$

and if $p < 2^* - 1$ then choose $K > 0$ large enough so that

$$\left(\int_{|u|>K}|u|^{2^*}dV_{\mathbb{B}^N}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{2^*}}|V_{\mathbb{B}^N}(B(0,2R))|^{\frac{2}{q}-\frac{2}{2^*}} < \frac{1}{8(1+2\beta)}$$

where $q = \frac{2\cdot 2^*}{2^*-p+1}$. So that in both the cases

$$\int_{|u|>K}|u|^{p-1}(\varphi w)^2 dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} \leq \frac{1}{8(1+2\beta)}\int_{\mathbb{B}^N}|\nabla_{\mathbb{B}^N}(\varphi w)|^2_{\mathbb{B}^N}dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} \tag{4.26}$$

Hence from (4.25) we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}^N}|\nabla_{\mathbb{B}^N}(\varphi w)|^2 dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} \leq C(R)\int_{B(0,2R)}|w|^2 dV_{\mathbb{B}^N}$$

i.e, $(\int_{B(0,R)}|w|^{2^*}dV_{\mathbb{B}^N})^{\frac{2}{2^*}} \leq C(R)\int_{B(0,2R)}|w|^2 dV_{\mathbb{B}^N}$
Now notice that, $u_m^{\beta+1} \leq w = u_m^{\beta}\bar{u} \leq \bar{u}^{\beta+1}$. Now if we choose $2(\beta+1) = 2^*$, i.e. $\beta = \frac{2}{N-2}$ then we can write

$$\left(\int_{B(0,R)}u_m^{(\beta+1)2^*}dV_{\mathbb{B}^N}\right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}} \leq C(R)\int_{B(0,2R)}\bar{u}^{2^*}dV_{\mathbb{B}^N}$$

Now letting $m \to \infty$ we get

$$\left(\int_{B(0,R)}\bar{u}^{(\beta+1)2^*}dV_{\mathbb{B}^N}\right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}} \leq C(R)||u||^{2^*}_{H^1(\mathbb{B}^N)}$$

Hence $u \in L^{(\beta+1)2^*}(B(0,R))$ and $|u|_{L^{(\beta+1)2^*}(B(0,R))} \leq C(N, u, ||u||_{H^1(\mathbb{B}^N)})$.
Now note that dependence of the constant C on u is because of the fact that constant K in (4.26) depends on u. Now

$$\left(\int_{|u|>K}|u|^{2^*}dV_{\mathbb{B}^N}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{2^*}} < \frac{1}{8(1+2\beta)}$$

implies $(\int_{|v|>K}|v|^{2^*}dV_{\mathbb{B}^N})^{\frac{p-1}{2^*}} < \frac{1}{8(1+2\beta)}$ where $v = u\circ\tau$ for $\tau \in I(\mathbb{B}^N)$. Hence Step 1 follows.

Step 2: Let R be as in Step 1, then there exists $C>0$ such that $\sup\limits_{B(0,R)} |u\circ\tau| \leq C$, for all $\tau \in I(\mathbb{B}^N)$ and hence u is bounded.

Proof: As in the previous step we will prove $\sup\limits_{B(0,R)} |u| \leq C$ and the constant remains unchanged if u is replaced by $u\circ\tau$.

Thanks to the Step 1 we have $\lambda + |u|^{p-1} \in L^q_{B(0,2R)}$ for some $q > \frac{N}{2}$. Define, $\lambda + |u|^{p-1} = g$, hence $|g|_{L^q_{B(0,2R)}} \leq C(R, ||u||_{H^1(\mathbb{B}^N)})$. From the expression (4.24) of step 1 we can see that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{4(1+2\beta)}\int_{\mathbb{B}^N}|\nabla_{\mathbb{B}^N}(\varphi w)|^2 dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} &\leq C(R, ||u||_{H^1(\mathbb{B}^N)})|(\varphi w)^2|_{L^{q'}(\mathbb{B}^N)} \\ &+ \frac{C}{(r_i - r_{i+1})^2}\int_{B(0,r_i)} w^2 dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} \quad (4.27)\end{aligned}$$

where $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q'} = 1$. Since $q > \frac{N}{2} \Rightarrow q' < \frac{N}{N-2} = r(\text{say})$. Now let $\frac{1}{q'} = \theta + \frac{1-\theta}{r}$. then using interpolation inequality we get

$$|(\varphi w)^2|_{L^{q'}} \leq \varepsilon(1-\theta)|(\varphi w)^2|_{L^r} + c_1\varepsilon^{-\frac{1-\theta}{\theta}}|(\varphi w)^2|_{L^1}$$

where θ depends on N, t, q'. Note that $2r = 2^*$. Therefore,

$$|(\varphi w)^2|_{L^r} = |\varphi w|^2_{L^{2^*}(\mathbb{B}^N)} \leq C|\nabla_{\mathbb{B}^N}(\varphi w)|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{B}^N)}$$

Hence

$$|(\varphi w)^2|_{L^{q'}} \leq C\varepsilon|\nabla_{\mathbb{B}^N}(\varphi w)|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{B}^N)} + C\varepsilon^{-\alpha}|(\varphi w)^2|_{L^1(\mathbb{B}^N)}$$

Now choosing ε suitably we can write from (4.27)

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}^N}|\nabla_{\mathbb{B}^N}(\varphi w)|^2 dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} \leq \frac{C(1+\beta)^\alpha}{(r_i - r_{i+1})^2}\int_{B(0,r_i)} w^2 dV_{\mathbb{B}^N}$$

where C depends on $||u||_{H^1(\mathbb{B}^N)}, N$. Now using Poincaré-Sobolev inequality in the above expression we get

$$\left(\int_{B(0,r_{i+1})} w^{\frac{2N}{N-2}} dV_{\mathbb{B}^N}\right)^{\frac{N-2}{N}} \leq \frac{C(1+\beta)^\alpha}{(r_i - r_{i+1})^2}\int_{B(0,r_i)} w^2 dV_{\mathbb{B}^N}$$

Now using $\chi = \frac{N}{N-2} > 1$, $w = u_m^{\beta}\bar{u}$, $u_m \leq \bar{u}$ and $\gamma = 2(\beta+1)$ we get

$$\Big(\int_{B(0,r_{i+1})} u_m^{\gamma\chi} dV_{\mathbb{B}^N}\Big)^{\frac{1}{\gamma\chi}} \leq \Big[\frac{C(1+\beta)^{\alpha}}{(r_i - r_{i+1})^2}\Big]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \Big(\int_{B(0,r_i)} \bar{u}^{\gamma} dV_{\mathbb{B}^N}\Big)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}$$

Now letting $m \to \infty$ we get

$$\Big(\int_{B(0,r_{i+1})} \bar{u}^{\gamma\chi} dV_{\mathbb{B}^N}\Big)^{\frac{1}{\gamma\chi}} \leq \Big[\frac{C(1+\beta)^{\alpha}}{(r_i - r_{i+1})^2}\Big]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \Big(\int_{B(0,r_i)} \bar{u}^{\gamma} dV_{\mathbb{B}^N}\Big)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}$$

provided $|\bar{u}|_{L^{\gamma}(B(0,r_i))}$ is finite. C is a positive constant independent of γ. Now we will complete the proof by iterating the above relation. Let us take $\gamma = 2, 2\chi, 2\chi^2 ...$ i.e. $\gamma_i = 2\chi^i$ for $i = 0, 1, 2, ...$ $r_i = R + \frac{R}{2^i}$. Hence for $\gamma = \gamma_i$ we get

$$\Big(\int_{B(0,r_{i+1})} \bar{u}^{\gamma_{i+1}} dV_{\mathbb{B}^N}\Big)^{\frac{1}{\gamma_{i+1}}} \leq C^{\frac{i}{\chi^i}} \Big(\int_{B(0,r_i)} \bar{u}^{\gamma_i} dV_{\mathbb{B}^N}\Big)^{\frac{1}{\gamma_i}}$$

$C > 1$ is a constant depends on $R, N, ||u||_{H^1(\mathbb{B}^N)}$. Now by iteration we obtain

$$\Big(\int_{B(0,r_{i+1})} \bar{u}^{\gamma_{i+1}} dV_{\mathbb{B}^N}\Big)^{\frac{1}{\gamma_{i+1}}} \leq C^{\Sigma\frac{i}{\chi^i}} \Big(\int_{B(0,2R)} \bar{u}^{2} dV_{\mathbb{B}^N}\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Letting $i \to \infty$ we obtain

$$\sup_{B(0,R)} \bar{u} \leq \tilde{C}|\bar{u}|_{L^2(B(0,2R))} \leq C_1||u||_{H^1(\mathbb{B}^N)} \leq C||u||_{H_\lambda}$$

Hence u^+ is bounded in $B(0,R)$. Applying the same argument to $-u$ instead of u we get u^- is also bounded by the same. Since we can take $\tau = \tau_b$, the hyperbolic translation for any $b \in \mathbb{B}^N$ we get $\sup_{\mathbb{B}^N} |u| \leq C$.

Step 3: $u(x) \to 0$ and $|\nabla_{\mathbb{B}^N} u(x)|^2_{\mathbb{B}^N} \to 0$ as $x \to \infty$ in $\mathbb{B}^N$.

Proof: Let $b_n \in \mathbb{B}^N$ such that $b_n \to \infty$. Let $\tau_n \in I(\mathbb{B}^N)$ be the hyperbolic isometry such that $\tau_n(0) = b_n$. Define $v_n = u \circ \tau_n$, then we know that $v_n \rightharpoonup 0$ in $H^1(\mathbb{B}^N)$. Since v_n's are uniformly bounded and v_n satisfies (1.1), we get $\Delta_{\mathbb{B}^N} v_n$ is uniformly bounded and hence v_n's are uniformly bounded in $W^{2,p}_{loc}(\mathbb{B}^N)$, $\forall p, 1 < p < \infty$. Combining with Sobolev embedding theorem we get $v_n \to 0$ in $C^1(B(0, \frac{1}{2}))$. In prticular $|v_n(0)| \to 0$ and $|\nabla v_n(0)| \to 0$. Writing in terms of u, we get $|u(b_n)| \to 0$ and $(1 - |b_n|^2)|\nabla u(b_n)| \to 0$. Now the theorem follows as $|\nabla_{\mathbb{B}^N} u(x)|^2_{\mathbb{B}^N} = (\frac{2}{1-|x|^2})^2 |\nabla_{\mathbb{B}^N} u|^2 = (\frac{1-|x|^2}{2})^2 |\nabla u|^2$. $\square$

Next we prove an improvement of the above result under some restrictions on λ.

Theorem 4.2. *If u is solution to the equation* (1.1) *and $\lambda \leq \frac{N(N-2)}{4}$ then $u(x) \leq C(\frac{1-|x|^2}{2})^{\frac{N-2}{2}}$.*

Proof. First consider the case $p = 2^* - 1$. In this case using the conformal change of metric we know that if u solves (1.1) then $v = (\frac{2}{1-|x|^2})^{\frac{N-2}{2}} u$ solves (2.9). Now if $\lambda \leq \frac{N(N-2)}{4}$ then $\tilde{\lambda} \leq 0$. Thus, enough to prove
Claim: $v \in L^\infty(\mathbb{B}^N)$
From standard elliptic theorey we know that $v \in C^2(\mathbb{B}^N)$. Now to prove the bound near infinity, we do a Moser iteration. Fix a point $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ such that $|x_0| = 1$. Define $\bar{v} = v^+ + 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} v_m &= \bar{v} \text{ if } v < m \\ &= 1+m \text{ if } v \geq m \end{aligned}$$

For $\beta > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{B}^N$ define the test function $w = w_\beta$ as $w_\beta = \varphi^2(v_m^{2\beta}\bar{v} - 1)$ where $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N), 0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$, $\varphi \equiv 1$ in $B(x_0, r_{i+1})$, supp$\varphi \subseteq B(x_0, r_i)$, $R < r_{i+1} < r_i < 2R < \frac{1}{2}$ and $|\nabla\varphi| \leq \frac{C}{r_i - r_{i+1}}$.
Then $0 \leq w \in H_0^1(\mathbb{B}^N)$ and hence from (2.9) we can write

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}^N} \langle \nabla v, \nabla w \rangle dx = \tilde{\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{B}^N} (\frac{2}{1-|x|^2})^2 vw dx + \int_{\mathbb{B}^N} |v|^{2^*-1} vw dx$$

Now substituting w we get
L.H.S=

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}^N} \left[v_m^{2\beta}\varphi^2 \nabla v \nabla \bar{v} + 2\beta v_m^{2\beta-1}\bar{v}\varphi^2 \nabla v \nabla v_m + 2\varphi(v_m^{2\beta}\bar{v} - 1)\nabla v \nabla \varphi \right] dx$$

Again as in Step 1 of Theorem 4.1 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} & \int_{\mathbb{B}^N} v_m^{2\beta}\varphi^2 |\nabla \bar{v}|^2 dx + 2\beta \int_{\mathbb{B}^N} v_m^{2\beta}\varphi^2 |\nabla v_m|^2 dx \\ \leq & \ \tilde{\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{B}^N} (\frac{2}{1-|x|^2})^2 \varphi^2 (v_m^\beta \bar{v})^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{B}^N} |v|^{2^*-2}(v_m^\beta \bar{v})^2 \varphi^2 dx \\ + & \ 2\int_{\mathbb{B}^N} (v_m^\beta \bar{v})^2 |\nabla\varphi|^2 dx \end{aligned} \tag{4.28}$$

Since $\tilde{\lambda} \leq 0$ we can ignore the term which contains singularity at the origin to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{B}^N} v_m^{2\beta}\varphi^2|\nabla\bar{v}|^2dx + 2\beta\int_{\mathbb{B}^N} v_m^{2\beta}\varphi^2|\nabla v_m|^2dx \\
\leq &\int_{\mathbb{B}^N} |v|^{2^*-2}(v_m^{\beta}\bar{v})^2\varphi^2dx + 2\int_{\mathbb{B}^N} (v_m^{\beta}\bar{v})^2|\nabla\varphi|^2dx
\end{aligned}$$

Now we can do the standard Moser iteration techniques as in Step 1 and Step 2 of Theorem 4.1 to conclude $v \in L^\infty(B(x_0, R)\cap\mathbb{B}^N)$. Since x_0 is arbitrary and we can cover $\mathbb{B}^N \cap \{x : |x| \geq \frac{R}{2}\}$ by finitely many sets of the form $B(x_0, R)\cap\mathbb{B}^N$ the claim follows.

When $p < 2^* - 1$, the conformal change will give us an equation of the form

$$-\Delta v - \tilde{\lambda}\left(\frac{2}{1-|x|^2}\right)^2 v = \frac{|v|^{p_t-1}v}{(1-|x|^2)^t}, v \in H_0^1(\mathbb{B}^N)$$

where $t = N - \frac{N-2}{2}(p+1)$. Again one can proceed as before to do a Moser iteration to get the result. Of course while estimating the terms on the RHS one has to use the Hardy inequality $\int\limits_{\mathbb{B}^N} |\nabla u|^2dx \geq C\left(\int\limits_{\mathbb{B}^N} \frac{|u|^{p_t}}{(1-|x|^2)^t}dx\right)^{\frac{2}{p_t}}$ in place of the usual Sobolev inequality. ☐

5 Existence and Non Existence of sign changing radial solutions

In this section we will study the existence and non existence of sign changing solutions of the problem

$$\left.\begin{aligned}
-\Delta_{\mathbb{B}^N}u - \lambda u &= |u|^{p-1}u \text{ in } \mathbb{B}^N \\
u &\in H^1(\mathbb{B}^N)
\end{aligned}\right\} \tag{5.29}$$

where $\lambda < (\frac{N-1}{2})^2$ and $1 < p \leq \frac{N+2}{N-2}$.
We will see below that there is a significant difference between the cases $1 < p < \frac{N+2}{N-2}$ and $p = \frac{N+2}{N-2}$. In the subcritical case we have

Theorem 5.1. *Let* $1 < p < \frac{N+2}{N-2}$*, then there exists a sequence of solutions* u_k *of* (5.29) *such that* $||u_k|| \to \infty$ *as* $k \to \infty$*.*

Remark 1. *The above result holds when* $\lambda = (\frac{N-1}{2})^2$*, with* $u_k \in \mathcal{H}$ *and the corresponding norm goes to infinity as* $k \to \infty$*.*

As an immediate corollary we obtain the existence of sign changing solutions for the Hardy-Sobolev-Mazya equation and the critical Grushin equation.

Theorem 5.2. *The Hardy-Sobolev-Mazya equation* (1.2) *admits a sequence* v_k *of sign changing solutions such that* $||\nabla v_k||_2 \to \infty$ *as* $k \to \infty$*.*

Proof. As mentioned in the introduction cylindrically symmetric solutions of (1.2) are in one one correspondence with the solutions of (1.1) with $N = n - k + 1$ and $p = p_t$. One can easily see that $p = p_t < \frac{N+2}{N-2}$, thus Theorem 5.1 apply . Let v_k be the solution of (1.2) corresponding to u_k, then since $||u_k|| \to \infty$ we get $||\nabla v_k||_2 \to \infty$ (see [9],section 6, for details). ☐

Similarly we have

Theorem 5.3. *The critical Grushin equation* (1.3) *admits a sequence* v_k *of sign changing solutions such that* $|||v_k|||_2 \to \infty$ *as* $k \to \infty$*.*

However in the critical case (5.29) does not have a solution always. In fact it follows from the results in [14] that the Dirichlet problem

$$-\Delta_{\mathbb{B}^N} u - \lambda u = u^{\frac{N+2}{N-2}} \text{ in } \Omega,\ u > 0 \text{ in } \Omega, u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega$$

does not have a solution in a bounded star shaped domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{B}^N$ if $N = 3$ or $\lambda \leq \frac{N(N-2)}{4}$. Non existence of positive solution to (5.29) in the case of $N = 3$ or $\lambda \leq \frac{N(N-2)}{4}$ was established in ([9]). Since the existence of a nontrivial sign changing radial solution to (5.29) gives a solution to the above problem in a geodesic ball we conclude:

Theorem 5.4. *Let* $p = \frac{N+2}{N-2}$*, then the equation* (5.29) *does not have a radial sign changing solution if* $\lambda \leq \frac{N(N-2)}{4}$ *or* $N = 3$*.*

Theorem 5.5. *Let* $p = \frac{N+2}{N-2}$*, then the Equation* (5.29) *has at least two pairs of non-trivial radial solutions if* $N \geq 7$ *and* $\frac{N(N-2)}{4} < \lambda < (\frac{N-1}{2})^2$*.*

Solutions of (5.29) is in one to one correspondence with the critical points of the functional

$$I(\lambda)(u) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{B}^N}(|\nabla_{\mathbb{B}^N}u|^2 - \lambda u^2)dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} - \frac{1}{p+1}\int_{\mathbb{B}^N}|u|^{p+1}dV_{\mathbb{B}^N}, u \in H^1(\mathbb{B}^N).$$

From the Poincaré-Sobolev inequality we know that J is well defined and C^1 in $H^1(\mathbb{B}^N)$. The main difficulty in finding critical points of J is due to the lack of compactness, which we have already analysed in the last section.

Proof of Theorem 5.1. Thanks to Theorem $J : H_r^1(\mathbb{B}^N) \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition and hence using the standard arguments using genus as in Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz ([1] Theorems 3.13 , 3.14) we get a sequnce $u_k, k = 1, 2, ...$ of critical points for $J|_{H_r^1(\mathbb{B}^N)}$ with $||u_k|| \to \infty$. Also we know that the critical points of $J|_{H_r^1(\mathbb{B}^N)}$ are critical points of J in $H^1(\mathbb{B}^N)$ (see [11]) as well. this proves the theorem.

Proof of Theorem 5.5. We know from [9] that (5.29) has a unique positive raidal solution say u_0. In order to prove the existence of a sign changing solution we proceed as in [6] (see [16] for the same kind of result on compact Riemannian manifolds).

First recall that the unique positive radial solution u_0 satisfies

$$\frac{1}{N}S_\lambda^{\frac{N}{2}} = I_\lambda(u_0) = \inf_{u \in N} I_\lambda(u)$$

where $\mathcal{N}$ is the Nehari manifold

$$\mathcal{N} = \left\{u \in H^1(\mathbb{B}^N) \setminus \{0\} \ : \ \int_{\mathbb{B}^N}(|\nabla_{\mathbb{B}^N}u|^2 - \lambda u^2)dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} = \int_{\mathbb{B}^N}|u|^{p+1}dV_{\mathbb{B}^N}\right\}$$

Next observe that if u is a sign changing solution then $u^\pm \in \mathcal{N}$. Thus to look for a sign changing radial solution we need to look at only the $H_r^1(\mathbb{B}^N)$ function whose positive and negative parts are in $\mathcal{N}$. More precisely let us define for $u \in H_r^1(\mathbb{B}^N) \setminus \{0\}$

$$f_\lambda(u) = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{B}^N}|u|^{2^*}dV_{\mathbb{B}^N}}{\int_{\mathbb{B}^N}(|\nabla_{\mathbb{B}^N}u|_{\mathbb{B}^N}^2 - \lambda u^2)dV_{\mathbb{B}^N}}$$

and $f_\lambda(0) = 0$. Let $\mathcal{N}_1$ and U be defines as

$$\mathcal{N}_1 = \{u \in H^1_r(\mathbb{B}^N) : f_\lambda(u^+) = f_\lambda(u^-) = 1\}$$
$$U = \{u \in H^1_r(\mathbb{B}^N) : |f_\lambda(u^\pm) - 1| < \frac{1}{2}\}.$$

We can easily check that $U \neq \emptyset$ and the Poincare Sobolev inequality tells us that there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that $u \in U \Rightarrow ||u^\pm|| > \alpha$.

Claim: Let $\beta = \inf\limits_{u \in \mathcal{N}_1} I_\lambda(u)$ *then there exists a PS sequence* $\{u_n\}$ *of* I_λ *at the level* β *such that* $u_n \in U$ *for all* n*. Moreover* β *satisfies the estimate*

$$\beta < \frac{S_\lambda^{\frac{N}{2}}}{N} + \frac{S^{\frac{N}{2}}}{N}.$$

Assuming the claim, let us observe from Theorem (3.3) that the PS sequence otained in the above claim must be of the form $u_n = u + o(1)$ where u is a nontrivial solution of (5.29). Since $I_\lambda(u) = \beta$ we immediately see that u changes sign and hence the theorem follows. Now it remains to prove the claim.

Proof of claim: Existence of the PS sequence at level β follows exactly as in [6]. We will just outline the arguments and refer to [6] and the references therein for details.

Let us define P to be the cone of non negative functions in $H^1_r(\mathbb{B}^N)$ and Σ be the collection of maps $\sigma \in C(Q, H^1_r(\mathbb{B}^N))$ where $Q = [0,1] \times [0,1]$, satisfying

$$\sigma(s,0) = 0,\ \sigma(0,t) \in P,\ \sigma(1,t) \in -P,\ (I_\lambda \circ \sigma)(s,1) \leq 0,\ f_\lambda \circ \sigma(s,1) \geq 2$$

for all $s, t \in [0,1]$. The very same arguments used in [6] tells us that

$$\beta = \inf_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \sup_{u \in \sigma(Q)} I_\lambda(u).$$

If β is not a critical level then we can use a variant of the standard deformation lemma to conclude that the above min max level can be further lowered leading to a contradiction (See [6] for details). Thus the crucial step to prove is the estimate on β. Let $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{B}^N)$ such that $0 \leq \phi \leq 1$ and $\phi = 1$ on $|x| < r$ where $0 < r < 1$. Define v_ε as

$$v_\varepsilon(x) \ = \ \phi(x) \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon^2 + |x|^2} \right)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}$$

Define

$$u_\varepsilon(x) \;=\; (\frac{1-|x|^2}{2})^{\frac{N-2}{2}} v_\varepsilon(x)$$

Let u_0 be the unique positive solution of (5.29) then for suitable $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $t[(1-s)au_0 + bsu_\varepsilon] \in \varSigma$. Thus the estimate on β follows once we show that

$$\sup_{a,b\in\mathbb{R}} I_\lambda(au_0 + bu_\varepsilon) < \frac{1}{N}\left(S_\lambda^{\frac{N}{2}} + S^{\frac{N}{2}}\right).$$

Making a conformal change enough to show that

$$\sup_{a,b\in\mathbb{R}} J_\lambda(av_0 + bv_\varepsilon) < \frac{1}{N}\left(S_\lambda^{\frac{N}{2}} + S^{\frac{N}{2}}\right).$$

where J_λ is as in (2.10) and $v_0(x) = (\frac{2}{1-|x|^2})^{\frac{N-2}{2}} u_0(x)$.
Before proceeding to prove this we need to calculate few estimates.
Setting, $\varepsilon^2 = \mu$ we find $v_\varepsilon = \mu^{\frac{N-2}{4}} \frac{\varphi(x)}{(\mu+|x|^2)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}} =: \mu^{\frac{N-2}{4}} w_\mu$
Now let us recall some results from [4]

(i) $|\nabla w_\mu|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{B}^N)} = \frac{S^{\frac{N}{2}}}{\mu^{\frac{N-2}{2}}} + O(1)$

(ii) $|w_\mu|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{B}^N)} = \frac{C_1}{\mu^{\frac{N-4}{2}}} + O(1)$

(iii) $|w_\mu|^{2^*}_{L^{2^*}(\mathbb{B}^N)} = \frac{S^{\frac{N}{2}}}{\mu^{\frac{N}{2}}} + O(1)$

Now using (i), (ii), (iii) and the fact that v_ε has support in $B(0,R)$ where $R < 1$ we can compute the following estimates

1. $|\nabla v_\varepsilon|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{B}^N)} = S^{\frac{N}{2}} + O(\mu^{\frac{N-2}{2}})$
2. $|(\frac{2}{1-|x|^2})v_\varepsilon|^2_{L^2\mathbb{B}^N} = C_2\mu + O(\mu^{\frac{N-2}{2}})$
3. $|v_\varepsilon|^{2^*}_{L^{2^*}(\mathbb{B}^N)} = S^{\frac{N}{2}} + O(\mu^{\frac{N}{2}})$
4. $|v_\varepsilon|_{L^1(\mathbb{B}^N)} \le C_3\mu^{\frac{N-2}{4}}$
5. $|v_\varepsilon|^{2^*-1}_{L^{2^*-1}(\mathbb{B}^N)} \le C_4\mu^{\frac{N-2}{4}}$

For proof of (4) and (5) see appendix. Taking $b = 0, a = 1$ we can see that $\sup\limits_{a,b\in\mathbb{R}} J_\lambda(av_0 + bv_\varepsilon) > 0$ and $J_\lambda\big(t(av_0 + bv_\varepsilon)\big) < 0$ while $|t| \to \infty$ and a, b to be fixed. Therefore it is enough to consider $\sup\limits_{|a|,|b|<K} J_\lambda(av_0 + bv_\varepsilon)$ where K is chosen sufficiently large. Therefore using the above estimates and $I_\lambda(u_0) = J_\lambda(v_0)$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_\lambda(av_0 + bv_\varepsilon) &= \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{B}^N} \big[|\nabla(av_0 + bv_\varepsilon)|^2 - \tilde{\lambda}(\frac{2}{1-|x|^2})^2(av_0 + bv_\varepsilon)^2\big]dx \\
&- \frac{1}{2^*}\int_{\mathbb{B}^N} \big[|av_0 + bv_\varepsilon|^{2^*} dx \\
&\leq \frac{a^2}{2}\int_{\mathbb{B}^N} (|\nabla v_0|^2 - \tilde{\lambda}(\frac{2}{1-|x|^2})^2 v_0^2)dx \\
&+ \frac{b^2}{2}\int_{\mathbb{B}^N} (|\nabla v_\varepsilon|^2 - \tilde{\lambda}(\frac{2}{1-|x|^2})^2 v_\varepsilon^2)dx \\
&+ \int_{\mathbb{B}^N} \big[\nabla(av_0)\cdot\nabla(bv_\varepsilon) - \tilde{\lambda}(\frac{2}{1-|x|^2})^2 av_0 bv_\varepsilon\big]dx \\
&- \frac{a^{2^*}}{2^*}\int_{\mathbb{B}^N} |v_0|^{2^*}dx - \frac{b^{2^*}}{2^*}\int_{\mathbb{B}^N} |v_\varepsilon|^{2^*}dx \\
&+ K_1\big[|bv_\varepsilon|^{2^*-1}_{L^{2^*-1}(\mathbb{B}^N)}|av_0|_{L^\infty} + |bv_\varepsilon|_{L^1}|av_0|^{2^*-1}_{L^\infty}\big] \\
&\leq \frac{1}{N}(S_\lambda^{\frac{N}{2}} + S^{\frac{N}{2}}) - C_5\mu \\
&+ K_2\big[|bv_\varepsilon|_{L^1}|\Delta(av_0)|_{L^\infty} + |\tilde{\lambda}(\frac{2}{1-|x|^2})^2 bv_\varepsilon|_{L^1}|av_0|_{L^\infty}\big] \\
&+ K_1\big[|bv_\varepsilon|^{2^*-1}_{L^{2^*-1}(\mathbb{B}^N)}|av_0|_{L^\infty} + |bv_\varepsilon|_{L^1}|av_0|^{2^*-1}_{L^\infty}\big] \\
&\leq \frac{1}{N}(S_\lambda^{\frac{N}{2}} + S^{\frac{N}{2}}) - C_5\mu + K_3|v_0|_{L^\infty(B(0,R))}\mu^{\frac{N-2}{4}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now taking ε to be small enough we can conclude $J_\lambda(av_0+bv_\varepsilon) < \frac{1}{N}(S_\lambda^{\frac{N}{2}}+S^{\frac{N}{2}})$ since we have $N \geq 7$ and $\mu = \varepsilon^2$.

6 Appendix

In this appendix we will recall a few facts about the Hyerbolic space, especially the disc model. For proofs of theorems and a detailed discussion we refer to [12].

Disc and Upper half space model. We have already introduced the Disc model. The half space model is given by $(I\!H^N, g)$ where $I\!H^N = \{(x_1, ...x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N : x_N > 0\}$ and $g_{ij} = \frac{1}{x_N^2}\delta_{ij}$.

The map $M : I\!H^n \to \mathbb{B}^N$ given by

$$M(x) := \Big(\frac{1 - r^2 - |z|^2}{(1 + r)^2 + |z|^2}, \frac{2z}{(1 + r)^2 + |z|^2} \Big) \tag{6.30}$$

where $x \in I\!H^N$ is denoted as $(z, r) \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1} \times (0, \infty)$, is an Isometry between $I\!H^N$ and $\mathbb{B}^N$ with $M^{-1} = M$.

The Hyperbolic distance in $\mathbb{B}^N$. The Hyperbolic distance between $x, y \in \mathbb{B}^N$ is given by

$$d_{\mathbb{B}^N}(x, y) = cosh^{-1}\big(1 + \frac{2|x - y|^2}{(1 - |x|^2)(1 - |y|^2)}\big)$$

We define the hyperbolic sphere of $\mathbb{B}^N$ with center b and radius $r > 0$, as the set

$$S_{\mathbb{B}^N}(b, r) = \{x \in \mathbb{B}^N : d_{\mathbb{B}^N}(b, x) = 1\}$$

It easily follows that a subset S of $\mathbb{B}^N$ is a hyperbolic sphere of $\mathbb{B}^N$ iff S is a Euclidean sphere of $\mathbb{R}^N$ and contained in $\mathbb{B}^N$ probably with a different center and different radius.

Isometry group of $\mathbb{B}^N$. Let a be the unit vector in $\mathbb{R}^N$ and t be a real number. Let $P(a, t)$ be the hyperplane $P(a, t) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : x.a = t\}$.The reflection ρ of $\mathbb{R}^N$ in the hyperplane $P(a, t)$ is defined by the formula $\rho(x) = x + 2(t - x.a)a$.

Now let $b \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and r is positive real number, then the reflection σ of $\mathbb{R}^N$ in a sphere $S(b, r) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x - b| = r\}$ is defined by the formula $\sigma(x) = b + (\frac{r}{|x-b|})^2(x - b)$.

Let us denote the extended Euclidean space by, $\hat{\mathbb{R}^N} := \mathbb{R}^N \cup \infty$.

Definition 6.1. *A sphere* Σ *of* $\hat{\mathbb{R}^N}$ *is defined to be either a Euclidean sphere* $S(a, r)$ *or an extended plane* $\hat{P}(a, t) = P(a, t) \cup \{\infty\}$.

Lemma 6.1. *Two spheres of* $\hat{\mathbb{R}^N}$ *are orthogonal under the following conditions:*

- *The spheres $\hat{P}(a, r)$ and $\hat{P}(b, s)$ are orthogonal iff a and b are orthogonal.*
- *The spheres $S(a, r)$ and $\hat{P}(b, s)$ are orthogonal iff a is in $\hat{P}(b, s)$.*
- *The spheres $S(a, r)$ and $S(a, r)$ are orthogonal iff $|a - b|^2 = r^2 + s^2$.*

For a proof see [12], Theorem 4.4.2 .
With these definitions we have the following characterisation of the isometry group of $\mathbb{B}^N$. Again we refer to [12] for a proof.

Theorem 6.2. *Every element of $I(\mathbb{B}^N)$ is a finite composition of reflections of $\hat{\mathbb{R}^N}$ in spheres orthogonal to S^{N-1}.*

Hyperbolic Translation. Let $S(a, r)$ be a sphere in $\mathbb{R}^N$ with $r^2 = |a|^2 - 1$, therefore $S(a, r)$ is orthogonal to S^{N-1}. Let σ_a be the reflection of $\mathbb{R}^N$ in $S(a, r)$ and ρ_a is the reflection of $\mathbb{R}^N$ in the hyperplane $a.x = 0$. Using Lemma 6.1 and Theorem6.2 we get $\sigma_a \circ \rho_a$ is an isometry of $\mathbb{B}^N$. Define $a^* = \frac{a}{|a|^2}$. Then we get

$$\sigma_a \circ \rho_a(x) = \frac{(|a|^2 - 1)x + (|x|^2 + 2x \cdot a^* + 1)a}{|x + a|^2}$$

In particular, $\sigma_a \circ \rho_a(0) = a^*$.
Let b be any nonzero point in $\mathbb{B}^N$, and $b^* = a(say)$. Then $|a| > 1$ and $a^* = b$. Now if we take $r = (|a|^2 - 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, then $S(a, r)$ is orthogonal to S^{N-1} by Lemma 6.1. Therefore we can define a Möbius transformation of $\mathbb{B}^N$ by the formula

$$\tau_b = \sigma_{b^*} \circ \rho_{b^*}.$$

i.e.

$$\tau_b(x) = \frac{(|b^*|^2 - 1)x + (|x|^2 + 2x \cdot b + 1)b^*}{|x + b^*|^2}$$

Therefore in terms of b we can write

$$\tau_b(x) = \frac{(1 - |b|^2)x + (|x|^2 + 2x \cdot b + 1)b}{|b|^2|x|^2 + 2x \cdot b + 1}$$

As τ_b is the composition of two reflections in hyperplanes orthogonal to the line $(-\frac{b}{|b|}, \frac{b}{|b|})$, the transformation τ_b acts as a translation along this line. We define τ_0 to be the identity. Then $\tau_b(0) = b$ for all $b \in \mathbb{B}^N$. The map τ_b is called the hyperbolic translation of $\mathbb{B}^N$ by b.

Lemma 6.3. *(i)* $|v_\varepsilon|_{L^1(\mathbb{B}^N)} \leq C_3 \mu^{\frac{N-2}{4}}$ *(ii)* $|v_\varepsilon|^{2^*-1}_{L^{2^*-1}(\mathbb{B}^N)} \leq C_4 \mu^{\frac{N-2}{4}}$

Proof. (i) $|v_\varepsilon|_{L^1} = \mu^{\frac{N-2}{4}} |w_\mu|_{L^1}$. now using $\varphi - 1 \equiv 0$ near 0 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{B}^N} |w_\mu| &= \int_{\mathbb{B}^N} \frac{\varphi(x) - 1}{(\mu + |x|^2)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}} dx + \int_{\mathbb{B}^N} \frac{dx}{(\mu + |x|^2)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}} \\ &= O(1) + C\mu \quad \text{where} \quad C = \int_{\mathbb{B}^N} \frac{dx}{(1 + |x|^2)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}} \end{aligned}$$

Hence $|v_\varepsilon|_{L^1} = O(\mu^{\frac{N-2}{4}}) + C\mu^{\frac{N+2}{4}} \leq C_3 \mu^{\frac{N-2}{4}}$

(ii)$|v_\varepsilon|^{2^*-1}_{L^{2^*-1}(\mathbb{B}^N)} = \mu^{\frac{N+2}{4}} |w_\mu|^{2^*-1}_{L^{2^*-1}}$. now

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{B}^N} |w_\mu|^{2^*-1} &= \int_{\mathbb{B}^N} \frac{\varphi(x)^{2^*-1} - 1}{(\mu + |x|^2)^{\frac{N+2}{2}}} dx + \int_{\mathbb{B}^N} \frac{dx}{(\mu + |x|^2)^{\frac{N+2}{2}}} \\ &= O(1) + C\mu^{-1} \quad \text{where} \quad C = \int_{\mathbb{B}^N} \frac{dx}{(1 + |x|^2)^{\frac{N+2}{2}}} \end{aligned}$$

Hence $|v_\varepsilon|^{2^*-1}_{L^{2^*-1}(\mathbb{B}^N)} = O(\mu^{\frac{N+2}{4}}) + C\mu^{\frac{N-2}{4}} \leq C_4 \mu^{\frac{N-2}{4}}$ ☐

References

[1] A. Ambrosetti, P. H. Rabinowitz; *Dual variational methods in critical point theory and applications* J. Functional Analysis **14**, (1973), pag. 349-381.

[2] Adimurthi, Tintarev, Kyril; *On a version of Trudinger-Moser inequality with Mbius shift invariance*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **39** no. 1-2 (2010), 203-212,

[3] W. Beckner *On the Grushin operator and hyperbolic symmetry*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. Vol 129 (2001), pag. 1233-1246

[4] Brézis, Häim; Nirenberg, Louis *Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **36**, no. 4, (1983), pag. 437-477.

[5] M. Bhakta; K. Sandeep; *Hardy-Sobolev-Maz'ya type equations in bounded domains*, J. Differential Equations **247**, no. 1, (2009), pag. 119-139.

[6] G. Cerami, S. Solimini, M. Struwe, *Some existence results for superlinear elliptic boundary value problems involving critical exponents.* J. Funct. Anal. Vol. 69 no. 3, (1986), pag. 289-306.

[7] D. Castorina, I. Fabbri, G. Mancini, K. Sandeep,*Hardy-Sobolev extremals, hyperbolic symmetry and scalar curvature equations.* J. Differential Equations **246** no. 3, (2009), 1187-1206.

[8] D. Castorina, I. Fabbri, G. Mancini, K. Sandeep,*Hardy-Sobolev inequalities and hyperbolic symmetry.* Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. Rend. Lincei (9) Mat. Appl. **19** no. 3, (2008), 189-197.

[9] Gianni Mancini, Kunnath Sandeep; *On a semilinear elliptic equation in* $\mathbb{H}^N$, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5), **7**, no. 4, (2008), pag. 635-671.

[10] Gianni Mancini, Kunnath Sandeep; *Moser-Trudinger inequality on conformal discs* Communications in Contemporary Mathematics,**12** No. 6 (2010) 1055 - 1068.

[11] R. Palais; *The principle of symmetric criticality*, Commun. Math. Phys. **69**, (1979), pag. 19-30.

[12] John G. Ratcliffe; *Foundations of Hyperbolic Manifolds*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol-149, Springer.

[13] J. Sacks, K. Uhlenbeck; *The existence of minimal immersions of 2-spheres*, Ann. of Math. **113** (1) (1981) , 1-24.

[14] Silke Stapelkamp; *The Brezis-Nirenberg problem on* $\mathbb{H}^N$. *Existence and uniqueness of solutions*, Elliptic and parabolic problems(Rolduc/Gaeta, 2001), World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, (2002), pag. 283-290.

[15] M. Struwe, *A global compactness result for elliptic boundary value problems involving limiting nonlinearities*, Math. Z. Vol. 187 no. 4 (1984), pag. 511-517.

[16] Vétois, Jérôme *Multiple solutions for nonlinear elliptic equations on compact Riemannian manifolds.* Internat. J. Math. **18** (2007), no. 9, 1071-1111.